[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 15 September 2026 21:36
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com; Greg Smith
Subject: Objection to Planning Application :- Reference PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843

[redacted]

Date: 15/09/26

Proposal: Temporary change of use of MoD Bicester Site A for the phased provision of non-detained asylum accommodation.
Site Location: MoD Bicester Site A, near Piddington, Oxfordshire.

Dear Sir/Madam,
I am writing [redacted] dge my **strongest objections** to the Urgent Crown Development planning application for the proposed asylum accommodation centre at MoD Bicester Site A.
While the need for national asylum solutions is acknowledged, this location is fundamentally unsuitable for a large-scale, high-density residential development of 1,250 single adult men. The proposal contradicts several core local and national planning policies regarding sustainable development, infrastructure capacity, and community cohesion.
My specific planning objections are outlined below:

1. Severe Lack of Infrastructure and Sustainability

The villages around the site, particularly Piddington (a small rural village) with a population of roughly 330 to 370 residents completely lacks the necessary infrastructure to absorb a sudden population influx that outnumbers locals nearly 4-to-1.

- **Public Services:** The village has no shops, no healthcare facilities, no streetlights, and no pavement infrastructure connecting the site safely to nearby amenities. The local area already is overwhelmed in healthcare facilities, so cannot cope with additional strain.
-
- **Site Management Utilities:** Proposals indicate the site will rely heavily on highly unsustainable temporary measures, including trucking water in, trucking sewage out, and utilising diesel generators for power. This creates an unacceptable environmental and carbon footprint.
-

2. Transport and Accessibility Isolation

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) dictates that developments should be sustainably located with strong access to public transport.

- MoD Bicester Site A is isolated. There are no sustainable transport links or regular bus services capable of supporting the movement of over a thousand residents.
-

- The reliance on private shuttle buses or emergency services will dramatically increase traffic on narrow, rural country lanes, creating severe highway safety hazards for pedestrians, cyclists, and existing motorists.
-

3. Impact on Local Amenities and Community Safety
The proposed development sits directly adjacent to **Widnell Park, the Jubilee Reserve, and the local playing fields**.

- This area is a vital, parish-owned recreational amenity used daily by local families, dog-walkers, and children.
-
- Introducing an overwhelming population of non-detained individuals directly bordering a primary community asset will severely impact the village's quality of life, giving rise to well-founded material planning concerns regarding public safety, policing resources, and community harmony. Even regional support charities, such as Asylum Welcome, have publically noted that placing vulnerable individuals in isolated military sites exacerbates community tensions and damages integration.
-

4. Inadequate Consultation and Procedural Redaction
The local community has been denied a fair and transparent democratic process. The fast-tracked public consultation period and the extensive redaction of critical sections (including risk and suitability assessments) within the public application documents prevent informed local scrutiny and violate the principles of transparent planning.

Conclusion
For the reasons stated above—primarily total lack of sustainability, environmental strain, complete absence of local amenities, and the severe disproportion of scale—this application represents entirely inappropriate development for a rural location.
I urge the planning authority and the Housing Secretary to **refuse** planning permission for this site.

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]

[redacted]